MICROBIOLOGY RESEARCH ADVANCES

# THE RHIZOSPHERE STRUCTURE, ECOLOGY AND SIGNIFICANCE





## Contents

| Preface    |  | vii  |
|------------|--|------|
| Acknowledg | ments  | xiii |
| Chapter 1  | The Rhizosphere: Microbial Community<br>Structure and Plant-Microbe Ecology<br>Nittaya Pitiwittayakul<br>and Somboon Tanasupawat   | 1    |
| Chapter 2  | Rhizosphere Microbiome:<br>A Fascinating Microbial Community<br>Nattakorn Kuncharoen<br>and Somboon Tanasupawat  | 29   |
| Chapter 3  | Distribution of <i>Proteobacteria</i><br>in the Rhizosphere and Their Plant<br>Beneficial Interactions<br>Nittaya Pitiwittayakul<br>and Somboon Tanasupawat                            | 49   |
| Chapter 4  | Plant Growth Promoting Traits of Acetic<br>Acid Bacteria Associated with the Root<br>Endosphere and Rhizosphere<br>Nittaya Pitiwittayakul, Huong Thi Lan Vu<br>and Pattaraporn Yukphan | 77   |
| Chapter 5  | Rhizobia Genome: Diversity, Comparation,<br>Evolution and Function<br>Engkarat Kingkaew,<br>Sukanya Phuengjayaem<br>and Somboon Tanasupawat  | 99   |

#### Contents

| Chapter 6     | In the Neighborhood of Arbuscular mycorrhiza:<br>PGPR and Rhizobia<br>Supunnika Somjaipeng and Naresh Magan  | 127 |
|---------------|--|-----|
| Chapter 7     | Diversity of Actinobacteria in the Rhizosphere<br>and Their Biotechnological Applications<br>Nisachon Tedsree, Takuji Nakashima<br>and Somboon Tanasupawat       | 177 |
| Chapter 8     | Spore-Forming Bacteria in Rhizosphere:<br>Distribution and Application<br>Jenjuiree Mahittikon, Saowapar Khianngam,<br>Trinset Weeraphan and Somboon Tanasupawat | 209 |
| Chapter 9     | Diversity and Application of Yeasts<br>in the Rhizosphere<br>Patcharaporn Hoondee<br>and Somboon Tanasupawat   | 239 |
| Index         |  | 261 |
| About the Edi | tor  | 273 |

### **Chapter 9**

## Diversity and Application of Yeasts in the Rhizosphere

## Patcharaporn Hoondee<sup>1,2</sup> and Somboon Tanasupawat<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chulalongkorn University, Bangkok, Thailand
<sup>2</sup>Division of Biology, Faculty of Science and Technology, Rajamangala University of Technology Krungthep, Bangkok, Thailand

#### Abstract

The rhizosphere is a thin region of soil around plant roots where microbes, plant roots, and soil constituents interact and is supposed to be the microbial habitat. This region is rich in nutrients and chemical substances secreted from plant roots, which are substantially higher than those found in soil away from plants and serve as a major source of substrates for a variety of microorganisms. Yeasts are a group of unicellular eukaryotic microorganisms that inhabit the rhizosphere. Rhizosphere yeasts are rich in species diversity and population levels. The diversity of yeast in the rhizosphere varies depending on the season, soil type and depth, plant species, and location. Yeast species belonging to the genus Cryptococcus, Candida, Torulaspora, and Meyerozyma are the most frequently isolated from the rhizosphere. Presently, metagenomics, an effective method for phylogenetic identification and functional characterization of uncultured microorganisms, is currently being used to investigate the community and diversity of yeast and other microorganisms in the rhizosphere. The role of rhizosphere yeast in terms of plant growth promotion is well investigated and reported, such as organic matter mineralization, phosphate solubilization, nitrogen

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author's Email: Somboon.T@chula.ac.th.

#### Patcharaporn Hoondee and Somboon Tanasupawat

compound and inorganic sulfate transformation, plant root growth promotion, against root pathogens, and even acting as a food source for other organisms. However, information and examination of yeast ecology and interaction among yeasts, plants, and other microorganisms is limited. In this chapter, the diverse culturable and unculturable yeast species that inhabit the rhizosphere are reviewed. Furthermore, the interactions between yeast and plants are discussed with a focus on their mechanisms for promoting plant growth.

Keywords: rhizosphere, yeast, diversity, plant growth promoting

#### 1. Introduction

The rhizosphere, a term that usually refers to the narrow region of soil surrounding plant roots, was described for the first time by agronomist Lorenz Hiltner in 1904 (Balandreau and Knowles 1978). This area is directly influenced by plant root secretions and has been recognized as a crucial habitat for microorganisms. Plant roots release an enormous variety of organic compounds, known as rhizodeposits, such as carbohydrates, protein, amino acids, lipids, vitamins, volatile compounds, and other secondary metabolites, which could stimulate the growth and activity of microorganisms and make the rhizosphere able to shape their rhizosphere microbiome (Prescott et al. 1999). Plant rhizodeposits vary greatly depending on plant species, age and development of plants, soil conditions, and seasonal environment (McNear and David 2013, Baetz and Martinoia 2014). Although the role of rhizosphere microorganisms is unclear, various rhizosphere microorganisms show their ability to promote plant growth, control plant pathogens, and may help plants become more tolerant to environmental stress (Pandey et al. 2019). Yeasts are single-celled eukaryotic microorganisms that are widely distributed in various ecosystems and natural resources (Yurkov et al. 2012, Canto et al. 2017, Hagler et al. 2017, Hoondee et al. 2019) as well as in the rhizosphere (Cecilia Mestre et al. 2011, Sarabia et al. 2018a). The diversity and activity of rhizosphere bacteria have been extensively studied, but only a few studies have focused on rhizosphere yeast. Yeasts are assumed to be one of the good competitors in the rhizosphere environment because they are able to consume simple carbon compounds quickly, grow fast and are able to produce spores (Hannula et al. 2020). Furthermore, various yeast species were able to assimilate the complex carbon sources derived from plant biomass such as xylose and cellobiose (Cecilia Mestre et al. 2011). Several studies have

reported rhizosphere yeasts' potential as Plant Growth Promoting Microorganisms (PGPM), which can produce chemical compounds capable of stimulating plant development via both direct and indirect mechanisms such as plant hormone production, mineral solubilization, pathogen antagonism, and plant resistance induction (Fu et al. 2016, Sarabia et al. 2018a). In this chapter, we presented the isolation, identification, and diversity of rhizosphere yeasts as well as their benefits for plants in terms of growth-promoting traits and others.

#### 2. Isolation Cultivation and Characterization of Yeasts

#### 2.1. Sample Collection

The rhizosphere soil samples were collected from soil attached to the root surface layer. The depth from the ground is typically 0-30 cm due to their ability to grow aerobically (Sarabia et al. 2018a). The first step in collecting a good soil sample is to draw a diagram indicating where you will collect soil samples. Soil samples were collected and dried. Stones, roots, and large debris were removed from the soil (Sarabia et al. 2018a). Sieving using 2-mm sieve was used to homogenize the samples and remove stones and other debris (Wang et al. 2021). Furthermore, to reduce the bias caused by soil composition variation, rhizosphere soil samples from each sampling site should be mixed (Sarabia et al. 2018a). Collected samples were tightly sealed in sterile packaging, transported to the laboratory, and maintained at 4°C until processed (Cecilia Mestre et al. 2011, Sarabia et al. 2018a). The samples should be processed within 2-4 h after collection Koricha et al. 2019) or no longer than 1 week (Cecilia Mestre et al. 2011). However, rhizosphere soil samples for metagenomic analysis should be stored in a freezer at -80°C (Hannula et al. 2020, Wang et al. 2021). Endorhizospheric yeasts, defined as yeasts that colonize internal plant root tissue, were also investigated. For plant root collection, the roots were cut from the shoots and removed the soil adhering. The roots were washed with tap water and disinfected. Weight of the fresh roots were recorded before further processing.

#### 2.2. Isolation and Cultivation of Yeasts

The diversity of rhizosphere yeast has been surveyed in many natural habitats. There were several techniques with various media used for yeast isolation (Table 1). The antibiotics (e.g., chloramphenicol, streptomycin, rose bengal) have been added into the medium to prevent the growth of bacteria (Ferreira et al. 2010, Cecilia Mestre et al. 2011, Chamnanpa et al. 2013, Sarabia et al. 2018a, Koricha et al. 2019, de Lima Targino et al. 2022). Meanwhile, the addition of sodium propionate, calcium propionate or lactic acid can be controlled the fungal growth (Chamnanpa et al. 2013, Fu et al. 2016, de Lima Targino et al. 2022). One of the most commonly used methods for isolating yeast is the enrichment approach. The rhizosphere soil samples were inoculated in the nutrient-rich medium, which was the general medium for isolation and enumeration of yeasts such as malt extract broth and yeast extract peptone dextrose (YPD) broth (Fu et al. 2016, Koricha et al. 2019). The resulting enriched culture was streaked or spread onto the agar medium that contained the same ingredients as the enrichment broth medium but was supplemented with agar. Yeast colonies of different morphologies were selected, purified, and maintained for further analysis. The dilution plating method was also used for both isolating yeast and quantifying their population (Cecilia Mestre et al. 2011, Ramos-Garza et al. 2016, Sarabia et al. 2018a). The rhizosphere soil samples were suspended in normal saline solution or sterile water at various ratios and then diluted as a series of sequential dilutions. The aliquots were spread on the surface of selective agar medium which is normally used for the enumeration of yeasts and molds such as malt extract yeast extract peptone (MYP) medium, yeast peptone dextrose (YPD) agar, rose bengal agar and sabouraud medium (Cecilia Mestre et al. 2011, Ramos-Garza et al. 2016, Sarabia et al. 2018a). After incubation, the yeast colonies were counted and expressed as colony forming units (CFU)  $g^{-1}$  soil weight. Then, single colonies were purified by repeated cross-striking. Isolation of endorhizospheric yeast was attempted from plant roots. Plant root samples must be washed with tap water to remove soil particles, followed by sterilization with a sterilization agent to remove epiphytic yeast and other microorganisms, and finally rinsed in sterile distilled water to remove the sterilization agents. Dilute sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) and ethanol are sterilization agents which are usually used for disinfecting surface microorganisms. The sterilized root sample is then cut into small fragments and plated individually on artificial agar media such as YPD agar and PDA agar (Deng et al. 2012, de Lima Targino et al. 2022), incubated at 28°C for 5 to 14 days. Then, the colonies were purified in the same medium and morphologically characterized.

| Table 1. | . Isolation | media an | d their | compositions | and | cultivation | conditions |
|----------|-------------|----------|---------|--------------|-----|-------------|------------|
|----------|-------------|----------|---------|--------------|-----|-------------|------------|

| Media         | Compositions  | Cultivation    | Reference     |
|---------------|---|----------------|---------------|
| ~             |   | conditions     | ~             |
| Sabouraud     | 65 g sabouraud agar and 0.1 g   | incubated      | Sarabia et    |
| medium        | chloramphenicol per litter of Millipore                                   | at 28°C for    | al. (2018)    |
|               | water   | 3–5 days       |               |
| Yeast extract | 20 g glucose, 20 g peptone, 10 g yeast                                    | incubated      | Koricha       |
| peptone       | extract per litter of water and supplemented                              | at 25-28°C for | et al. (2019) |
| dextrose      | with 200 µg/ mL chloramphenicol and                                       | 3–5 days       |               |
| (YPD) broth   | 1 mL 1M HCl   |                |               |
| medium        |   |                |               |
| Malt extract  | 30 g malt extract and 5 g peptone per litter                              | incubated      | Fu et al.     |
| medium        | of water and supplemented with  | at 28°C        | (2016)        |
|               | approximately 2-3 ml of 100% lactic acid                                  |                |               |
| Potato        | 4 g potato extract, 20 g glucose, 15 g agar                               | incubated      | Deng et al.   |
| dextrose      | per litter of water and supplemented with                                 | at 28°C for    | (2012)        |
| agar (PDA)    | 50 mg of chloramphenicol  | 1-2 weeks      |               |
| medium        |   |                |               |
| GPYAc         | 20 g glucose, 5 g peptone, 5 g yeast                                      | incubated      | Ferreira      |
|               | extract and 20 g agar per litter of water and                             | at 30°C        | et al. (2010) |
|               | supplemented with 0.1 g chloramphenicol                                   | for 3 days     |               |
| PDA           | 4 g potato extract, 20 g glucose, 15 g agar                               | incubated      | De Lima       |
| medium        | per litter of water supplemented with 500                                 | at 28°C in the | Targino       |
|               | µg chloramphenicol and 300 mg calcium                                     | dark for       | et al. (2022) |
|               | propionate  | five days      |               |
| Yeast         | 10 g yeast extract, 20g peptone, 20 g                                     | incubated      | Ramos-        |
| peptone       | dextrose and 15 g agar per litter of distilled                            | at 28°C for    | Garza et al.  |
| dextrose      | water and supplemented with 20 mg   | 7 days         | (2016)        |
| (YPD) agar    | streptomycin.   |                |               |
| Rose Bengal   | 0.5 g MgSO <sub>4</sub> ·7H2O, 1 g KH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> , 10 g | incubated      | Ramos-        |
| agar          | dextrose, 0.05 g Rose Bengal, 5 g soy                                     | at 28°C for    | Garza et al.  |
| -             | peptone and 15 g peptone per litter of                                    | 7 days         | (2016)        |
|               | distilled water and supplemented with 0.1 g                               |                |               |
|               | chloramphenicol   |                |               |
| Yeast extract | 10 g glucose, 3 g yeast extract, 3 g malt                                 | incubated      | Chamnanpa     |
| malt extract  | extract and 5 g peptone per litter of distilled                           | at 25°C        | et al. (2013) |
| (YM)          | water and supplemented with 0.25 g  |                |               |
| broth         | sodium propionate and 0.2 g   |                |               |
|               | chloramphenicol   |                |               |
| Malt extract  | 7 g malt extract, 0.5 g yeast extract, 2.5 g                              | Incubated      | Cecilia       |
| yeast extract | peptone-soytone and 15 g agar per litter of                               | at 20°C for    | Mestre et al. |
| peptone       | water and supplemented with 25 $\mu$ g/ml rose                            | 3 days         | (2011)        |
| (MYP)         | bengal and 200 µg/mL chloramphenicol                                      |                |               |
| medium        |   |                |               |

#### 2.3. Identification and Characterization

Identification of yeasts is traditionally performed based on phenotypic characterization: morphological, physiological, and biochemical characteristics such as agar-grown colony morphology, asexual cell morphology, sexual state reproduction, mating type formation, carbohydrate fermentation, carbon assimilation, nitrogen assimilation, and other growth ability. The phenotypic tests were determined exclusively relying on the method and standard description of type strains as described in The Yeasts, A Taxonomic Study, 5<sup>th</sup> ed. (Kurtzman et al. 2011).

Recently, the identification of yeasts has been transformed by genotypic characteristic studies through DNA sequence analyses and other DNA-based methodologies. The D1/D2 domain of the large subunit (26S) of ribosomal DNA (rDNA) and the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region, comprising the ITS1, 5.8S, and ITS2 regions, are the most widely used and accepted molecular targets for yeast identification. The structure of fungal rDNA and the sites of the universal primers are shown in Figure 1. For yeast identification, some studies began by grouping yeast isolates based on their colony morphology, e.g., color, surface appearance, margin, and elevation (Kurtzman et al. 2011, Sarabia et al. 2018a). Subsequently, the isolates with identical morphological characteristics were subjected to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) fingerprinting with the core sequence using the microsatellite specific oligonucleotides (GTG)<sub>5</sub> as primer (de Lima Tagino et al. 2022) and then grouped. The representative strain from each group was chosen for further identification by DNA sequencing.



**Figure 1.** The location of the ITS region and the D1/D2 domain of yeast ribosomal DNA and the binding sites of the fungus-specific universal primers (short arrow).

The genotypic identification procedures usually involve DNA extraction, PCR amplification, and DNA sequencing. The yeast genomic DNA was extracted from 2-4 d old cultures grown on agar medium or in liquid medium.

6

Initially, the harvested cells were suspended into lysis buffer containing Tris-Cl, ethylene diamine tetra acid (EDTA), and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS), followed by removal acetic of cell debris by centrifugation. A mixture of organic solvents such as phenol, chloroform, and/or isoamyl alcohol in various ratios is used for denaturation and precipitation of proteins from nucleic acid solution, and denatured proteins are removed by centrifugation and wash steps. The undesired ribonucleic acid (RNA) can be eliminated by the ribonuclease A (RNAse A) enzyme treatment (Sarabia et al. 2018s). The extracted DNA was precipitated with ice-cold ethanol and then recovered by centrifugation. The DNA pellet is redissolved in TE buffer or sterilized ultrapure water. The D1/D2 domain of 26S rDNA sequence was amplified by PCR primers using the forward NL-1 (5'-GCATATCAATAAGCGGAGGAAAAG) or ITS1 (5'-TCCGTAGGTGAACCTGCG-3') and the reverse primer NL-4 (5'-GGTCCGTGTTTCAAGACGG) (Fu et al. 2016, Sarabia et al. 2018a, Koricha et al. 2019). For ITS region sequence analysis, this region was amplified using specific ITS1 (5'-CTTGGTCATTTAGAGGAAGTAA-3') and ITS4 (5'-TCCTCCGCTTATTGATAT GC-3') primers (Deng et al. 2012, de Lima Targino et al. 2022). The PCR products were purified, and sequence analyzed. The DNA sequences of the yeasts were manually edited using the BioEdit program (Hall 1999) and were individually analyzed using the online database available in the NCBI GenBank with the search algorithm BLASTn (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). Generally, yeast strains that differed by six or more nucleotide substitutions (> 1%) in the conserved D1/D2 domain of the 26SrRNA were potentially considered to be novel species, whereas strains that differed by 0-3 nucleotide substitutions were considered conspecific or sister species (Kurtzman and Robnett 1998).

#### 2.4. Metagenomics Study

Metagenomics is the study of the whole DNA of a microbial community for one time, allowing the identification of all microorganisms in an environment (Chen and Pachter 2005). Metagenomics technology is a powerful tool for identifying microorganisms that are unculturable or difficult to cultivate in vitro. Moreover, this technique can overcome the amplicon sequencing limitation (Wang et al. 2021). Previous studies have investigated the microbial community of various plant rhizospheres, but mostly focused on bacteria. Wang et al. (2021) evaluated rhizosphere soil fungal diversity using direct isolation of the ITS2 rRNA gene region by high-throughput sequencing of cloned fragments. Meanwhile, Zhu et al. (2021) assessed rhizosphere soil yeast communities using the high-throughput sequencing analysis of the D1 domain of the LSU rRNA genes. The operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were clustered with a 97% similarity cutoff using the UPARSE (Zhu et al. 2021) and UCLUST (Wang et al. 2021) algorithms, and taxonomically classified using the UNITE dynamic database (Wang et al. 2021). The combination of DNA-SIP and next generation sequencing (NGS) was applied to investigate the rhizosphere fungi which actively assimilate plant-derived carbon. The  ${}^{13}\text{CO}_2$  was provided to the plants in an intact soil core collected from a restored grassland in the Netherlands, followed by rhizosphere sampling in a time series to track the fate of carbon in the rhizosphere microbiome. The <sup>13</sup>C-enriched DNA was separated from the <sup>12</sup>C DNA using density gradient centrifugation and then individually subjected to ITS2 amplification. The sequencing was performed by pyrosequencing, which is a method of DNA sequencing that detects light emitted from nucleotide bases. According to Simões et al. (2015), pyrosequencing with the 454 GS FLX titanium method was used for sequencing.

#### 3. Rhizosphere Yeast Diversity

Yeasts are eukaryotic unicellular fungi that are widely distributed in the rhizosphere of both natural (Cecilia Mestre et al. 2011, Fu et al. 2016, Koricha et al. 2019) and agricultural ecosystems (Sarabia et al 2018a, Wang et al. 2021, de Lima Targino et al. 2022). Diverse yeast species isolated from rhizosphere soil of various host plants were shown in Table 2. Sarabia et al. (2018a) isolated rhizosphere yeast from rhizosphere soil samples of six maize agroecosystems in Mexico which were sampling from three plant growth phases, including vegetative, flowering and senescence growth phases. Ascomycetous yeasts were dominant and the most two prevalent species were railenensis guiliermondii. Candida and Meyerozyma Meanwhile, Cryptococcus flavus was the one and only basidiomycetous yeast discovered in this study. Abundance of yeast during the full crop cycle in all six maize fields was in the range  $6.3 \times 10^3 - 1.1 \times 10^6$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil dry weight. The highest abundance of yeast was observed in the flowering stages, which was significantly higher than in the vegetative growth stage. Moreover, researcher revealed that the abundance of rhizosphere yeast was negatively affected by soil pH and the amount of Mg. According to Botha (2006), pH and Cu concentration in soil were the principal factors for decreasing yeast number. Meyerozyma are one of the most frequently yeast genera isolated from the rhizosphere. Previously, the halophilic yeast Meyerozyma caribbica MG20W was isolated from rhizosphere soil of Saemangeum reclaimed land on the west coast of the Republic of Korea (Kim et al. 2015). Their complete genome sequence was analyzed and published on Japan/EMBL/GenBank nucleotide sequence databases with the accession numbers BADS01000001 to BADS01000009. The phosphate-solubilizing yeasts, M. guilliermondii CC1, was isolated from the uprooted Ficus (Ficus religiosa L.) tree rhizosphere (Nakayan et al. 2013). Previously, M. gullhermondii has been isolated from Brazilian cassava (Manihot esculenta) root (Ferreira et al. 2010). According to de Lima Targino et al. (2022), two species of Meyerozyma genus, M. guilliermondii and M. caribbica were isolated from maize root collected from maize field in Brazil. The finding indicated that the genus Meyerozyma could be maize-associated yeasts. Koricha et al. (2019) studied the occurrence and frequency of wild yeast associated with rhizosphere soil samples collected from Belete-Gera forest in Southwest Ethiopia during the spring and summer seasons. Yeast abundance was  $6.5 \times 10^4 - 2.15 \times 10^5$  cfu g<sup>-1</sup> soil dry weight. The most two frequently isolated yeast were Pichia kudriavzevii and Meyerozyma guiliermondii. However, there was no significant seasonal variation in the diversity and frequency of yeast in the rhizosphere. In the Drosera spatulate rhizosphere, ascomycetous yeasts were dominant, whereas basidiomycetous yeasts were not found (Fu et al. 2016). Three known yeast species isolated from this rhizosphere were Galactomyces candidum, Kazachstania jiainicus and Barnettozyma californica. Meanwhile, four and one strains were assigned as potential new species in the genus Dothideomycetes and Torulaspora, respectively. Recently, Torulaspora globose were isolated from the sugarcane rhizosphere during the exploration for yeasts that can be used as biological control agents against phytopathogens (de Oliveira et al. 2019). Chamnanpa et al. (2013) reported that T. globosa was the prevalent yeast species isolated from vetiver rhizosphere soil.

The diversity of yeasts inhabiting the rhizosphere and bulk-soil of a *Nothofagus pumilio* forest in Nahuel Huapi National Park (Bariloche, Argentina) was studied (Cecilia Mestre et al. 2011). They revealed that the total number of yeast isolates obtained from the rhizosphere (41 isolates) was slightly higher than in bulk-soil (37 isolates) but that the species diversity and community between them are similar. Basidiomycetous yeasts were predominant in both soil fractions. In the *Nothofagus pumilio* forest rhizosphere, twelve known yeast species (7 basidiomycetes and 5

ascomycetes) and 2 possibly new species were obtained. Yeast species belonging to the genus Cryptococcus, including Cryptococcus podzolicus, Cryptococcus aerius, Cryptococcus phenolicus, Cryptococcus terricola, and Cryptococcus terreus, are the most frequently isolated from the rhizosphere. In addition, the rhizospheric yeasts associated with plants grown in a seriously contaminated region were reported (Ramos-Garza et al. 2016). Yeasts were quantified and isolated from the rhizospheres of 5 plant species grown at 2 sites of a Mexican region contaminated with arsenic, lead, and other heavy metals. 31 yeast isolates were isolated and identified as 5 species in 4 genera of Basidiomycota and one species in genus *Exophiala* of Ascomycota. Cryptococcus albidus was the most abundant and widely distributed species, being isolated from all other sampling sites except for *Prosopis* sp. at the hill site. Other yeast species isolated from arsenic-contaminated rhizosphere soil were Cystobasidium sloffiae, Cryptococcus uzbekistanensis, Trichosporon Rhodotorula mucilaginosa and *Exophiala* pisciphila. *japonicum*, Furthermore, strains of Cryptococcus albidus were able to reduce the heavy metal, arsenate (As<sup>5+</sup>), into arsenite (AS<sup>3+</sup>). Previously, the *Cryptococcus* sp. CBSB78 (GIM2.180) isolated from rape (Brassica chinensis) roots collected from a heavy metal-contaminated site in China has been reported as Cd-, Pb-, Zn-, Cu-resistant endorhizospheric yeast (Deng et al. 2012).

To better understand the fungal communities and diversity in the rhizosphere, a variety of culture-independent techniques, such as metagenomics, have been applied over the past decade. Based on Illumina MiSeq high-throughput sequencing analysis of the D1 domain of the LSU rRNA genes, a total of 86 yeast OTUs identified from rhizosphere soil of Hami melon orchards in three different regions of Xinjiang, China was classified into 59 genera and 86 species (Zhu et al. 2021). Most OTUs (90.4%) were belonged to the Basidiomycota whereas only a few (9.6%) belonged to Ascomycota. Filobasidium magnum, Solicoccozyma aeria and Filobasidium magnum were the predominant species in the Southern, Eastern and Northern Xinjiang, respectively. There were noticeable differences in yeast diversity and community structure among regions. The results also demonstrated that both soil factors (conductivity, total phosphorus, and total potassium) and physical factors (average annual precipitation, relative humidity, and net solar radiation intensity) significantly influenced yeast community structure. Wang et al. (2021) characterize the fungal microbiome in Argentina (Syn. Potentialla) anserina rhizosphere soils of in three agricultural fields in different continuous cropping years, over four seasons, e.g., the germinating stage in spring, the flowering stage in summer, the vegetative stage of root tuber in autumn, and the harvest stage of root tuber in winter using the ITS II high-throughput amplicon sequencing. The results showed that seasons were significantly correlated with both fungal diversity and fungal community. In addition, specific fungal groups and distributions were found to be related to the level of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium in rhizosphere soil. Among 35 identified fungal species, only four basidiomycetous yeast species, Cryptococcus albidus, Rhodotorula sp.3.23T, Occultifur sp., and Sporobolomyces sp., were detected. The functional role of different fungi was assigned by FUNGuild and the results revealed that Rhodotorula, Occultifur, and *Sporobolomyces* were belong to pathotrophs/saprotroph trophic patterns. Meanwhile, Cryptococcus has been reported as a competitive yeast capable of competing with bacteria and fungi. Previously, Cryptococcus found in arid soil demonstrated the ability to produce unique polysaccharide capsules that absorb nutrients from the soil, making them good competitors in the rhizosphere (Vishniac 2006). Hannula et al. (2020) investigated rhizosphere fungi which actively assimilate plant-derived carbon using combined technology between DNA-SIP and next generation sequencing and supported that yeast (both ascomycete and basidiomycete) OTUs were able to respond to plant-derived carbon quickly. Therefore, yeast may have the potential to modulate the food web interaction through competition with bacteria and each other. Simões et al. (2015) investigated the fungal diversity in the rhizosphere and bulk soil of gray mangroves (Avicennia marina) from the Red Sea mangrove shore in Saudi Arabia through a metagenomic approach and reported that rhizosphere samples had much higher fungal diversity when compared with bulk soil samples. The finding indicated that Ascomycota was the dominant phylum (76%-85%), while Basidiomycota was less abundant (14%–24%), which corresponded with previous studies on culturable yeast isolation (Fu et al. 2016, Sarabia et al. 2018a). Candida albican, Candida glabrata, Candida maltose, Candida tropicalis, Cryptococcus neoformans, Debaryomyces hansenii, Kluyveromyces lactis, Malassezia globose, Ogataea parapolymorpha, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Scheffersomyces stipites, Schizosaccharomyces pombe were the species identified in all four rhizosphere samples (Simões et al. 2015).

**Table 2.** Diversity of culturable rhizosphere yeasts isolated from rhizosphere soil samples associated with various host plant species

| Species | Sample type | Host         | Country | Reference |
|---------|-------------|--------------|---------|-----------|
|         |             | Plant/Source |         |           |

| Torulaspora globose  | Rhizosphere  | Sugar cane                          | Brazil   | de Oliveira<br>et al. (2019) |
|--|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|
| <i>Myxozyma udenii</i> sp. nov.  | Rhizosphere  | Mangifera indica                    | USA      | Spaaij et al<br>(1990)       |
| Kazachstania jiainicus,<br>Torulaspora sp.,<br>Barnettozyma<br>californica   | Rhizosphere, | Drosera<br>spatulate                | Taiwan   | Fu et al.<br>(2016)          |
| Cryptococcus flavus,<br>Candida railenensis  | Rhizosphere  | Maize                               | Mexico   | Sarabia et al. (2017)        |
| Candida albicans,<br>Candida humilis,<br>Candida intermedia,<br>Hanseniaspora uvarum,<br>Kluyveromyces<br>marxianus,<br>Lachancea<br>thermotolerans,<br>Pichia kudriavzevii  | Rhizosphere  | Belete-Gera<br>forest               | Ethiopia | Koricha et al.<br>(2019)     |
| Candida railenensis,<br>Clavispora lusitaniae,<br>Cryptococcus flavus,<br>Filobasidium<br>globisporum,<br>Meyerozyma caribbica,<br>Meyerozyma<br>guilliermondii,<br>Solicoccozyma aeria,<br>Symmetrospora<br>coprosmae | Rhizosphere  | Maize                               | Mexico   | Sarabia et al.<br>(2018)     |
| Meyerozyma<br>gullhermondii  | Rhizosphere  | Ficus (Ficus<br>religiosa L.)       | Taiwan   | Nakayan et al.<br>(2013)     |
| <i>Cryptococcus</i> sp. CBSB78   | Roots        | Rapeseed<br>(Brassica<br>chinensis) | China    | Deng et al.<br>(2012)        |
| Debaromyces hansenii,<br>Kodamaea ohmeri,<br>Candida glabrata,<br>Candida haemulonii,<br>Meyerozyma<br>gullhermondii   | Roots        | Cassava<br>(Manihot<br>esculenta)   | Brazil   | Ferreira et al.<br>(2010)    |
| Meyerozyma caribbica   | Rhizosphere  | Saemangeum<br>reclaimed land        | Korea    | Kim et al. (2015)            |

| Species         | Sample type | Host Plant/Source | Country | Reference      |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|
| Meyerozyma spp. | Roots       | Maize             | Brazil  | De Lima        |
|                 |             | (Zea mays L.)     |         | Targino et al. |
|                 |             |                   |         | (2022)         |

| Williopsis saturnus          | Roots         | Maize (Zea mays       | Brazil    | Nassar et al.   |
|------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------------|
|                              |               | L.)                   |           | (2005)          |
| Candida tropicalis,          | Rhizosphere   | Vetiver               | Thailand  | Chamnanpa       |
| Debaryomyces                 |               |                       |           | et al. (2013)   |
| vanrijiae                    |               |                       |           |                 |
| var. <i>vanrijiae</i> ,      |               |                       |           |                 |
| Torulaspora globosa          |               |                       |           |                 |
| Cryptococcus albidus         | Rhizosphere   | Tithonia diversifolia | Mexico    | Ramos-Garza     |
|                              |               | in mine tailings      |           | et al. (2016)   |
| Cryptococcus albidus,        | Rhizosphere   | Flaveria angustifolia | Mexico    | Ramos-Garza     |
| Cystobasidium sloffiae       |               | in mine tailings      |           | et al. (2016)   |
| Cryptococcus albidus,        | Rhizosphere   | Sphaeralcea           | Mexico    | Ramos-Garza     |
| Cryptococcus                 | -             | angustifolia in mine  |           | et al. (2016)   |
| uzbekistanensis              |               | tailings              |           | · · · ·         |
| Cryptococcus albidus.        | Rhizosphere   | Prosopis sp. in mine  | Mexico    | Ramos-Garza     |
| Trichosporon sp.             |               | tailings              |           | et al. (2016)   |
| Cryptococcus albidus         | Rhizosphere   | Bahia absinthifolia   | Mexico    | Ramos-Garza     |
| <i>)</i> <sub><i>T</i></sub> | F             | at hill site          |           | et al. (2016)   |
| Cryptococcus albidus         | Rhizosphere   | Sphaeralcea           | Mexico    | Ramos-Garza     |
| Rhodotorula                  | runzosphere   | angustifolia at hill  | Wiekleo   | et al. $(2016)$ |
| mucilaginosa                 |               | site                  |           | et ul. (2010)   |
| Rhodotorula                  | Rhizosphere   | Prosonis sn at hill   | Mexico    | Ramos-Garza     |
| mucilaginosa                 | Ruizospiiere  | site                  | WEXICO    | et al. $(2016)$ |
| Fronhiala niscinhila         |               | site                  |           | ct al. (2010)   |
| Cryptococcus                 | Rhizosphere   | Nothofagus pumilio    | Argenting | Cecilia         |
| nodzolicus                   | Kiiizospiiere | forest                | Argentina | Mestre et al    |
| Croptococcus aerius          |               | Torest                |           | (2011)          |
| Cryptococcus aerius,         |               |                       |           | (2011)          |
| nhanolicus                   |               |                       |           |                 |
| Candida maritima             |               |                       |           |                 |
| Asterotremella albida        |               |                       |           |                 |
| Cryptococcus                 |               |                       |           |                 |
| torricola                    |               |                       |           |                 |
| Comptogogous terrous         |               |                       |           |                 |
| Cryptococcus terreus,        |               |                       |           |                 |
| <i>Cryptococcus</i> sp.,     |               |                       |           |                 |
| Guenomyces putitlans,        |               |                       |           |                 |
| Candida ratienensis,         |               |                       |           |                 |
| Canataa sp.,                 |               |                       |           |                 |
| Linanera                     |               |                       |           |                 |
| rnizosphaerae,               |               |                       |           |                 |
| Hanseniaspora                |               |                       |           |                 |
| valbyensis,                  |               |                       |           |                 |
| Saccharomyces sp.            |               |                       |           |                 |

#### 4. Mode of actions and Benefits for Plants

Plant growth promoting microorganisms (PGPM) are becoming more popular as the production of biofertilizers based on microorganisms gains attention in modern agriculture. This microorganism is a promising strategy to reduce dependency on agrochemicals. PGPM facilitate plant growth through direct and indirect mechanisms. However, in comparison to bacteria and mycorrhizal fungi, the use of yeasts as plant growth promoters is still relatively unknown. The modes of action in plant growth promoting traits and benefits for plants of rhizosphere yeast isolated from various host plant rhizospheres are shown below.

#### 4.1. Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) Production

Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) is an indole compound belonging to auxin group that is commonly known as a source of endogenous plant growth hormone. IAA is known to have a direct effect on plant growth, primarily by stimulating the development of hairy roots and increasing root dry mass (Ludwig-Müller 2015). Naturally, IAA is synthesized by plants and various microbes including bacteria yeasts, actinomycetes and filamentous fungi. Diverse rhizosphere yeast species possess the ability to produce IAA; therefore, this ability is strain-dependent (de Oliveira et al. 2019). In addition, the production of IAA by yeast was dependent on tryptophan (Nassar et al. 2005). Tryptophan (Trp) is the biochemical precursor for IAA production. Eight yeasts isolated from Drosera spatulata rhizosphere produced IAA in both conditions: the presence and absence of Trp (Fu et al. 2016). The concentration of IAA produced when cultured on a YPD medium with Trp ranged from  $295.77 \pm 10.4$  to  $8.64 \pm 1.4$ µg mL<sup>-1</sup>, which was higher than that produced on a YPD medium without Trp (which ranged from 180.54 + 12 to  $4.08 + 1.2 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$ ). Among them, Dothideomyces sp. JYC 396 had the highest IAA concentration at 295.77 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. Corresponding with (de Lima Targino et al. 2022), the maize-rootassociated Meyerozyma guilliermondii strain ESA 34 and ESA 37 produced a higher concentration of IAA when Trp was supplemented in the medium. Therefore, M. guilliermondii strain ESA 35 produced a higher IAA concentration in the medium without Trp. de Oliveira et al. (2019) reported that the IAA production of rhizosphere yeast, Torulaspora globose CCA5S55 and T. globose CCA5S51, was maximum (669 and 641 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) after 48 h of incubation in the presence of Trp and then decreased about twofold after 120 h. Previous studies have reported that yeast can degrade IAA for use as a nitrogen source, and this incidence may also be a self-protection action of yeast to eliminate the excess IAA which could be toxic to the cell (Tromas and Perrot-Rechenmann 2010). Rhizosphere yeasts and endorhizospheric yeasts that produce IAA have been able to improve the growth of several crops. The co-inoculation of tomato seedlings with *T. globose* 5S55 under greenhouse conditions showed a significant increase in both root length and shoot dry weight (de Oliveira et al. 2019). Meanwhile, Cabrini et al. (2019) investigated the effect of *T. globosa* 5S55 inoculation on lettuce seedling development in the field. The results showed that inoculating lettuce seeds and seedlings with *T. globosa* 5S55 resulted in an increase in root dry mass and a significant increase in lettuce production. The endorhizospheric yeasts were also found to be capable of producing indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) and could enhance plant growth (Nassar et al. 2005, Deng et al. 2012).

#### 4.2. Phosphate Solubilization and Mineralization

Phosphorus (P) is one of the major nutrients that are essential for plant growth and reproduction as it is part of nucleic acids, photosynthesis, metabolism, respiration, and energy transfer (Day and Ludeke 1993, Maharajan et al. 2018). The soil contains a large amount of P, but it is not in orthophosphate (Pi, inorganic phosphate) form, which is plant-accessible (Gupta and Sahu 2017, Malhotra et al. 2018). Various yeast species have been reported as Psolubilizers, which support plants in efficient P-uptake by solubilizing and mineralizing in both inorganic and organic soil (Nakayan et al. 2013, Fu et al. 2016). However, the capability of phosphate solubilization varies depending on the genus and species of yeast (Nakayan et al. 2013, Sarabia et al. 2018a). The most common mechanism for mineral phosphate solubilization by yeast is the production of organic acid and inorganic acid, which can bind with phosphate via their hydroxyl and carboxyl groups and thus release phosphorus in soluble forms (Nakayan et al. 2013, Gupta and Sahu 2017). Fu et al. (2016) evaluated the in vitro phosphate solubilizing ability of eight rhizosphere yeast isolates by measuring the clear zone around the colonies, which was caused by the inorganic phosphate solubilization and reported as solubilization efficiency (SE) units. Torulaspora sp. JYC 369 solubilized all three forms of inorganic phosphate, including dicalcium phosphate, calcium phosphate tribasic, and tricalcium phosphate, with SE units of 1.25, 1.63, and 1.17, respectively. Moreover, Torulaspora sp. JYC 369 was also solubilized in zinc with an SE unit of 2.0. Zinc is as an essential micronutrient, which plays an important role as a cofactor of several enzymes in various plant metabolic pathways and also operates in the control of plant development through its indirect action on auxins (Castillo-González et al. 2018). Four of the eight yeast species isolated from maize rhizosphere soil, including Candida railenensis, Clavispora lusitaniae, Meyerozyma guilliermondii, and Symmetrospora coprosmae, were found to be capable of solubilizing Ca<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> at various levels (Sarabia et al. 2018a). According to de Oliveira et al. (2019), Torulaspora globose CCA5S55 and CCA5S51 isolated from sugarcane rhizosphere could solubilize 47 and 35% of tricalcium phosphate in the medium, respectively. The phosphate-solubilizing yeasts, M. guilliermondii CC1, showed the significantly improved the dry weight, and nutrient uptake of maize and sword leaf lettuce when cultivated under greenhouse conditions and supplemented with half-dose chemical fertilizers  $(50 \text{ kg N}, 25 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5 \text{ and } 50 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ for maize and } 50 \text{ kg N}, 37.5 \text{ kg P}_2\text{O}_5$ and 55 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> for sword leaf lettuce and head lettuce) (Nakayan et al. 2013).

#### 4.3. Siderophore Production

Siderophores are high-affinity iron-chelating compounds for ferric iron that are secreted by microorganisms. These compound support plant growth via iron chelating by reduce the ferric iron (Fe<sup>3+</sup>) to ferrous iron (Fe<sup>2+</sup>) which is more easily adsorbed by plants. Moreover, siderophores indirectly inhibit plant pathogenic fungi by scavenging available iron from the environment (Ahmed and Holmström 2014). Fu et al. (2016) reported that siderophores were produced by 2 out of 8 strains of rhizosphere yeast: Dothideomyces sp. JYC385 and Dothideomyces sp. JYC383 with an AU of 1.78 and 1.33, respectively. The endorhyzosphere yeasts, Meyerozyma guilliermondii and Meyerozyma caribbica, isolated from maize root were also found to be siderophore producers (de Lima Targino et al. 2022).

#### 4.4. ACC Deaminase Production

The 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) deaminase is an enzyme that can break the ACC, an immediate precursor of ethylene to ammonia and  $\alpha$ ketobutyrate (Glick et al. 1998). The ACC deaminase has been proposed to play a key role in microbe–plant association to decrease the stress generating ethylene in the plants (Danish et al. 2020). Four rhizosphere yeasts: *Galactomyces candidum* JYC 360 and *Dothideomycetes* sp. strain JYC362, JYC 383 and JYC 396 exhibited strong ACC deaminase activity (Fu et al. 2016). The ability of the endorhizospheric yeast *Cryptococcus* sp. CBSB78 to produce ACC deaminase and synthesize IAA and siderophore may be linked to its ability to increase the survival rate and biomass of *Brassica alboglabra* growing in metal-contaminated soils.

#### 4.5. Plant Pathogen Antagonism

Fungi are among the most common pathogens of plants. Fungi on plants are classified into two types: pathogenic and saprophytic (Abdulkhair and Alghuthaymi 2016) Pathogenic fungi live in or on plant tissues and cause serious problems with the plant's vital physiological functions, whereas saprophytic fungi live in or on dead tissues. Ghodsalavi et al. (2013) revealed that the ability to synthesize fungal cell wall-degrading enzymes, which inhibit the growth of fungal pathogens, can promote the successful competition for plant nutrients with fungal pathogens. Thus, the ability to produce fungal cell wall-degrading enzymes of rhizosphere yeasts was investigated. All strains of Dothideomyces sp. produced the cell wall degrading enzyme including protease, carboxymethyl cellulase (CMCase) and Chitinase (Fu et al. 2016). 11 out of 13 isolates belonging to Meyerozyma sp. isolated from maize root had ability to produce the protease enzyme (de Lima Targino et al. 2022). Furthermore, some strains of rhizosphere yeast were discovered to produce an antifungal substance. The yeasts isolated from the rhizosphere of Drosera spatulate were investigated for antagonistic activity against Glomerella cingulata, a fruit fungal pathogen that causes ripe rot in grapes, bitter rot in apples, and several diseases in fruits and vegetables (Fu et al. 2016). The results show that Galactomyces candidum JYC360 and Barnettozyma californica JYC386 had significant antagonistic effects against the fungi tested.

#### 18 Patcharaporn Hoondee and Somboon Tanasupawat

#### 4.6. Beneficial Interactions of Plant Growth Promoting Rhizosphere Yeasts

In the last few years there have been relatively few studies of combined inoculation with rhizosphere yeast and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for the purpose of plant growth promoting traits. Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi are the obligate biotrophic fungi that form a symbiotic association with most land plants. They are commonly known as bio-fertilizers. Sarabia et al. (2017) examined the interaction between maize, either one of the native maize rhizosphere yeasts Cryptococcus flavus or Candida railenensis, and the community of AM fungi combined with and without phosphorus fertilization condition. It was discovered that C. railenensis inoculation without P fertilization improved the root colonization of AM fungi and that only AM fungi with phosphorus fertilization could promote maize plant growth. This result revealed that interactions among maize, rhizosphere yeasts, and AM fungi are highly influenced by P fertilization. Consequently, (Sarabia et al. 2018b) discovered that the cooperation between AM fungi *Rhizophagus* irregularis and the rhizosphere yeasts Cr. flavus and C. railenensis could increase the specific root length of maize and improve maize P nutrition and AM hyphal P transport. Moreover, they revealed that the improved P nutrition of mycorrhizal maize inoculated with rhizosphere yeasts is related to increased specific root length and AM hyphal P uptake.

#### Conclusion

The rhizosphere, which is hidden in the soil matrix, is a dynamic narrow zone of significant interaction between plant roots and soil microbiome. Yeast communities in rhizosphere soil are genetically diverse and play an important role in the ecological functioning of the soil and promoting plant growth such as phytohormone production, phytopathogen inhibition, phosphate solubilizing ability, siderophore production and stimulation of mycorrhizal-root colonization. As a consequence of their ubiquity and plant growth promoting properties, yeasts are potential microbes for selection as plant growth promoting microorganisms (PGPM) for use in sustainable agriculture that is a promising strategy to reduce dependency on agrochemicals.

#### References

- Abdulkhair, W. M., and Alghuthaymi, M. A. (2016). "Plant pathogens." In *Plant growth*, edited by Rigobelo E. IntechOpen.
- Ahmed, E., and Holmström, S. J. M. (2014). "Siderophores in environmental research: roles and applications." *Microbial Biotechnology*, 7(3):196 -208.
- Baetz, U., and Martinoia, E. (2014). "Root exudates: the hidden part of plant defense." *Trends in Plant Science*, 19(2): 90-98.
- Balandreau, J., and Knowles, R. (1978). "The rhizosphere." In *Developments in agricultural and managed forest ecology*, edited by Dommergues, Y. R. and Krupa, S. V., 243-68. Elsevier.
- Botha, A. (2006). "Yeasts in soil." In *Biodiversity and Ecophysiology of Yeasts The yeast handbook*, edited by Péter, G. and Rosa, C., 221-40. Springer.
- Cabrini, P. G., Sala, F. C., and Magri, M. M. R. (2019). "Torulaspora globosa: thizosphere yeast promoting lettuce growth on seedlings and under field conditions." *Horticultura Brasileira*, 37: 266-71.
- Canto, A., Herrera, C. M., and Rodriguez, R. (2017). "Nectar-living yeasts of a tropical host plant community: diversity and effects on community-wide floral nectar traits." *PeerJ*, 5:e3517.
- Castillo-González, J., Ojeda-Barrios, D., Hernández-Rodríguez, A., González-Franco, A. C., Robles-Hernández, L., and López-Ochoa, G. R. (2018). "Zinc metalloenzymes in plants." *Interciencia*, 43(4): 242-48.
- Cecilia Mestre, M., Rosa, C. A., Safar, S. V. B. Libkind, D., and Fontenla, S. B. (2011). "Yeast communities associated with the bulk-soil, rhizosphere and ectomycorrhizosphere of a *Nothofagus pumilio* forest in Northwestern Patagonia, Argentina." *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 78(3): 531-41.
- Chamnanpa, T., Limtong, P., Srisuk, N., and Limtong, S. (2013). "Diversity of yeasts in vetiver phylloplane and soil in vetiver rhizosphere in Thailand and their ability to produce indole-3-acetic acid." Seminar on Natural Resources Adaptation to the Global Climate Change: Extended Abstracts, 245-248.
- Chen, K., and Pachter, L. (2005). "Bioinformatics for whole-genome shotgun sequencing of microbial communities." *PLoS Computational Biology*, 1(2): e24.
- Danish, S., Zafar-ul-Hye, M., Mohsin, F., and Hussain, M. (2020). "Acc-deaminase producing plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and biochar mitigate adverse effects of drought stress on maize growth." *PLoS One*, 15(4): e0230615.
- Day, A. D., and Ludeke, K. L. (1993). "Phosphorus as a plant nutrient." In *Plant nutrients in desert environments*, edited by Cloudsley-Thompson, J. L., 45-48. Springer-Verlag.
- de Lima Targino, H. M., Silva, V. S. L., Escobar, I. E. C., de Almeida Ribeiro, P. R., Gava, C. A. T., and Fernandes-Junior, P. I. (2022). "Maize-associated *Meyerozyma* from the Brazilian semiarid region are effective plant growth-promoting yeasts." *Rhizosphere*, 22.
- de Oliveira, T. B., Bizarria Junior, R., Godoi Silva, L., and Maria Rosa-Magri, M. (2019). "Rhizosphere yeast *Torulaspora globosa* with plant growth promotion rraits and improvement of the development of tomato seedlings under greenhouse conditions." *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 14(22): 935-42.

- Deng, Z., Wang, W., Tan, H., and Cao., L. (2012). "Characterization of heavy metalresistant endophytic yeast *Cryptococcus* sp. CBSB78 from rapes (*Brassica Chinensis*) and its potential in promoting the growth of *Brassica* spp. in metal-contaminated soils. *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 223(8): 5321-29.
- Ferreira, N., Belloch, C. Querol, A. Manzanares, P. Vallez, S., and Santos, A. (2010). "Yeast microflora isolated from Brazilian cassava roots: taxonomical classification based on molecular identification." *Current Microbiology*, 60(4): 287-93.
- Fu, S. F., Sun, P. F. Lu, H. Y. Wei, J. Y., Xiao, H. S., Fang, W. T., Cheng, B.Y., and Chou, J. Y. (2016). "Plant growth-promoting traits of yeasts isolated from the phyllosphere and rhizosphere of *Drosera spatulata* Lab." *Fungal Biology*, 120(3): 433-48.
- Ghodsalavi, B., Ahmadzadeh, M., Soleimani, M. Madloo, P. B., and Taghizad-Farid., R. (2013). "Isolation and characterization of rhizobacteria and their effects on root extracts of Valeriana officinalis." Australian Journal of Crop Science, 7(3): 338-44.
- Glick, B. R., Penrose, D. M., and Li, J. (1998). "A model for the lowering of plant ethylene concentrations by plant growth-promoting bacteria." *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 190(1): 63-68.
- Gupta, A., and Sahu, P. K. (2017). "Phosphorus nutrition of plants: A microbial perspective." MOJ Ecology & Environmental Sciences, 2(6): 253-255.
- Hagler, A. N., Mendonça-Hagler, L. C., and Pagnocca, F. C. (2017). "Yeasts in aquatic ecotone habitats." In yeasts in natural ecosystems: diversity, edited by Buzzini, P., Lachance M. A. and Yurkov, A., 63-85. Springer.
- Hall, Tom A. (1999) "Bioedit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for windows 95/98/Nt." *Paper presented at the Nucleic acids symposium series*.
- Hannula, S. E., Morrien, E., van der Putten, W. H., and de Boer, W. (2020). "Rhizosphere fungi actively assimilating plant-derived carbon in a grassland soil." *Fungal Ecology*, 48.
- Hoondee, P., Wattanagonniyom, T. Weeraphan, T. Tanasupawat, S., and Savarajara, A. (2019). Occurrence of oleaginous yeast from mangrove forest in Thailand. *World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 35(7): 1-17.
- Kim, J. S., Baek, J. H., Park, N. H., and Kim, C. (2015). "Complete genome sequence of halophilic yeast *Meyerozyma caribbica* MG20W isolated from rhizosphere soil." *Genome Announcements*, 3(2): e00127-15.
- Koricha, A. D., Han, D. Bacha, K., and Bai, F. (2019). "Occurrence and molecular identification of wild yeasts from Jimma zone, South West Ethiopia." *Microorganisms*, 7(12): 633.
- Kurtzman, C. P., and Robnett, C. J. (1998). "Identification and phylogeny of ascomycetous yeasts from analysis of nuclear large subunit (26s) ribosomal DNA partial sequences." *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 73(4): 331-71.
- Kurtzman, C. P, Fell, J. W., and Boekhout, T. (2011). *The yeasts: a taxonomic study*. Elsevier.
- Ludwig-Müller, J. (2015). "Bacteria and fungi controlling plant growth by manipulating auxin: balance between development and defense." *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 172: 4-12.

- Maharajan, T., Ceasar, S. A., Ajeesh krishna, T. P., Ramakrishnan, M., Duraipandiyan, V., Naif Abdulla, A., and Ignacimuthu, S. (2018). "Utilization of molecular markers for improving the phosphorus efficiency in crop plants." *Plant Breeding*, 137(1): 10-26.
- Malhotra, H., Sharma, S., and Pandey, R. (2018). "Phosphorus nutrition: plant growth in response to deficiency and excess." In *Plant Nutrients and Abiotic Stress Tolerance*, edited by Barbara H. N., 171-90. Springer.
- McNear, J., and David, H. (2013). "The rhizosphere-roots, soil and everything in between." *Nature Education Knowledge*, 4(3): 1.
- Nakayan, P., Hameed, A., Singh, S. Young, L. S., Hung, M. H., and Young, C. C. (2013). "Phosphate-solubilizing soil yeast *Meyerozyma guilliermondii* CC1 improves maize (*Zea mays* L.) productivity and minimizes requisite chemical fertilization. *Plant and Soil*, 373(1): 301-15.
- Nassar, A. H., El-Tarabily, K. A., and Sivasithamparam, K. (2005). "Promotion of plant growth by an auxin-producing isolate of the yeast Williopsis saturnus endophytic in maize (Zea mays L.) roots. Biology and Fertility of soils, 42(2): 97-108.
- Pandey, A., Tripathi, A. Srivastava, P. Choudhary, K. K., and Dikshit, A. (2019). "Plant growth-promoting microorganisms in sustainable agriculture." In *Role of plant growth promoting microorganisms in sustainable agriculture and nanotechnology*, edited by Kumar, A. Singh, A. K. and Choudhary, K. K. 1-19. Elsevier.
- Prescott, L. M., Harley, J. P., and Klein, D. N. (1999). *Microbiology*. 4th edition, The McGraw-Hill companies, Inc.
- Ramos-Garza, J., Bustamante-Brito, R., Ángeles de Paz, G., Medina-Canales, M., Vásquez-Murrieta, M. S., Wang, E. T., and Rodríguez-Tovar, A. V. (2016). "Isolation and characterization of yeasts associated with plants growing in heavy-metal- and arseniccontaminated soils." *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, 62(4): 307-19.
- Sarabia, M., Cazares, S., González-Rodríguez, A., Mora, F., Carreón-Abud, Y., and Larsen, J. (2018a). "Plant growth promotion traits of rhizosphere yeasts and their response to soil characteristics and crop cycle in maize agroecosystems." *Rhizosphere*, 6:67-73.
- Sarabia, M., Cornejo, P., Azcón, R., Carreón-Abud, Y., and Larsen, J. (2017). "Mineral phosphorus fertilization modulates interactions between maize, rhizosphere yeasts and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi." *Rhizosphere*, 4:89-93.
- Sarabia, M., Jakobsen, I., Grønlund, M., Carreon-Abud, Y., and Larsen, J. (2018b). "Rhizosphere yeasts improve P uptake of a maize arbuscular mycorrhizal association." *Applied Soil Ecology*, 125:18-25.
- Simões, M. F., Antunes, A., Ottoni, C. A., Amini, M. S., Alam, I., Alzubaidy, H., Mokhtar, N. A., Archer, J. A. C., and Bajic, V. B. (2015). "Soil and rhizosphere associated fungi in gray mangroves (*Avicennia marina*) from the Red Sea—a metagenomic approach." *Genomics, Proteomics & Bioinformatics*, 13(5): 310-20.
- Spaaij, F., Weber, G. Van der Walt, J. P., and Oberwinkler, F. (1990). "Myxozyma udenii sp. nov. (Candidaceae), a new yeast isolated from the rhizosphere of Mangifera indica." Systematic and Applied Microbiology, 13(2): 182-85.
- Tromas, A., and Perrot-Rechenmann, C. (2010). "Recent progress in auxin biology." Comptes Rendus Biologies, 333(4): 297-306.
- Vishniac, Helen S. (2006). "A multivariate analysis of soil yeasts isolated from a latitudinal gradient." *Microbial Ecology*, 52(1): 90-103.

- Wang, Y., Liu, Y. Li, J. Bai, S., and Tian, T. (2021). "Fungal community composition and diversity in the rhizosphere soils of *Argentina* (Syn. *Potentilla*) anserina, on the Qinghai Plateau. *Fungal Ecology*, 54:101107.
- Yurkov, A. M., Kemler, M., and Begerow, D. (2012). "Assessment of yeast diversity in soils under different management regimes." *Fungal Ecology*, 5(1): 24-35.
- Zhu, S. S., Lei, Y. H., Wang, C., Wei, Y. M., Wang, C. C., and Sun., Y. F. (2021). "Patterns of yeast diversity distribution and its drivers in rhizosphere soil of Hami melon orchards in different regions of Xinjiang." *BMC Microbiology*, 21(1): 1-18.